Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/596,330	KEITH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TUNG X. LE	2821
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>TUNG X. LE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenneth D. Springer</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 December 2008	Time : <u>10am ET</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, 9 Prior art documents discussed: A teleconference was made to discuss about the correction to be made to claims 4 and 9. An authorized examiner's amendment is being as follows: Claim 4, line 1, "claim 3" should be changed toclaim 1; and Claim 9, line 1, "claim 8" should be changed toclaim 6		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A_1)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)